# la rivista di **engramma** luglio/agosto **2021**

183

Alias. Miti còlti sul (manu)fatto

### La Rivista di Engramma **183**

# La Rivista di Engramma 183 luglio/agosto 2021

# Miti còlti sul (manu)fatto

a cura di Monica Centanni e Maurizio Harari direttore monica centanni

#### redazione

sara agnoletto, maddalena bassani, maria bergamo, emily verla bovino, giacomo calandra di roccolino, olivia sara carli, giacomo confortin, silvia de laude, francesca romana dell'aglio, simona dolari, emma filipponi, anna ghiraldini, laura leuzzi, vittoria magnoler, michela maguolo, marco molin, francesco monticini, nicola noro, lucrezia not, alessandra pedersoli, marina pellanda, camilla pietrabissa, daniele pisani, stefania rimini, daniela sacco, cesare sartori, antonella sbrilli, massimo stella, elizabeth enrica thomson, christian toson, chiara velicogna

comitato scientifico lorenzo braccesi, maria grazia ciani, victoria cirlot, fernanda de maio,

georges didi-huberman, alberto ferlenga, kurt w. forster, fabrizio lollini, natalia mazour, sergio polano, oliver taplin, mario torelli

#### La Rivista di Engramma

a peer-reviewed journal 183 luglio/agosto 2021

www.engramma.it

sede legale Engramma Castello 6634 | 30122 Venezia edizioni@engramma.it

redazione

Centro studi classicA luav San Polo 2468 | 30125 Venezia +39 041 257 14 61

©2021 Edizioni Engramma

ISSN 1826-901X
ISBN carta 978-88-31494-64-9
ISBN digitale 978-88-31494-65-6
finito di stampare settembre 2021

Si dichiara che i contenuti del presente volume sono la versione a stampa totalmente corrispondente alla versione online della Rivista, disponibile in open access all'indirizzo: http://www.engramma.it/eOS/index.php?issue=183 e ciò a valere ad ogni effetto di legge.
L'editore dichiara di avere posto in essere le dovute attività di ricerca delle titolarità dei diritti sui contenuti qui pubblicati

e di aver impegnato ogni ragionevole sforzo per tale finalità, come richiesto dalla prassi e dalle normative di settore.

### Sommario

7	Miti còlti sul (manu)fatto. Editoriale
	Monica Centanni e Maurizio Harari

- 13 A Clue to the Riddle of the Dareios krater / 'vaso di Dario'?
  Oliver Taplin
- 21 Metamorfosi e peregrinazioni di Io. Dalla pittura vascolare alla tragedia, e ritorno Concetta Cataldo e Rocco Davide Vacca
- 51 ἄπαξ δρώμενα. Un criterio per la relazione tra testi teatrali e iconografia vascolare (V-IV sec. a.C.). Quattro casi: l'átto delfico' di Eumenidi; il volo sul carro del Sole di Medea; la scena del matricidio in Coefore; l'assassinio di Neottolemo a Delfi in Andromaca Monica Centanni e Alessandro Grilli
- 95 Dal mito tragico all'immagine su vaso. Nuclei d'azione e dinamiche transmediali Alessandro Grilli
- 123 Il sileno e Dioniso. Un cratere campano con attore comico in costume Ludovico Rebaudo
- 147 La donna e il cavallo: persistenza di un paragone Claudio Franzoni
- 165 Giorgio de Chirico, Le printemps de l'ingénieur Maurizio Harari
- 173 Giuseppe Pucci. Scritti corsari di un archeologo classico Antologia da "Alias", supplemento culturale de "il manifesto" 2012-2021
  - presentazione e cura di Roberto Andreotti
- 269 Bibliografia di Giuseppe Pucci a cura di Mara Sternini
- 297 'Tradizione', fra memoria e oblio A dialogo con la Lettura corale di Incursioni. Arte contemporanea e tradizione ("Engramma" n. 180) 2012-2021 Salvatore Settis
- 307 Regesto degli spettacoli INDA al Teatro greco di Siracusa (1914-2021)
  aggiornamento a cura di Alessandra Pedersoli

## A Clue to the Riddle of the Dareios krater / 'vaso di Dario'?

Oliver Taplin

One of the great rewards for having compiled *Pots and Plays* (Taplin 2007, hereafter P&P) has been the amicable to-and-fro of the Italian "Seminario Pots & Plays", epitomised in the publication of Scene dal mito (ed. Bordignon 2015). Another has been combative, but always courteous, debate with Luca Giuliani. His latest salvo, Giuliani 2018, takes issue with (among other points) the "index of signals" that I compiled in P&P 37-41. This was offered as a collection of possible iconographic indicators. sometimes stronger, sometimes weaker, towards a connection between a vase-painting and tragic theatre. Part of Giuliani's case against this (pp.131-134) concerns the semantics of the word "signal" (as he says, 132-133, "signals" mean instructions, even commands, like traffic signals; but in everyday English the word can also extend to something more like signs or indications which are not imperative or infallible), but his key claim is that, while he agrees that there is indeed a connection between the relevant paintings and tragedy, this is for him solely a matter of the plot, the version of the myth that is appropriated. This is as opposed to my argument that the plot is mediated through performances of the tragedies, so that, although the play is not explicitly portrayed, the appreciation of the viewer is further enriched through knowing the myth as enacted in living theatre. Hence my "pots and plays" versus Giuliani's "pots and plots".

One of the "signals" that Giuliani takes exception to is what I called "the little old man (*paidagogos* figure)". We have over 50 examples of this strikingly recognisable slave or menial figure with his unruly white hair and beard, often stooped and with a knobbly old staff. He nearly always has a short cloak and long sleeves of soft material; often he wears a conical travelling-hat and high boots, sometimes conspicuously ornate. J.R.

Green (Green 1999) compiled an invaluable catalogue of his appearances, where he argued both that his portrayal is derived from the theatre, and that his presence in a mythological setting is a strong indication that the scene points to a particular play known to the viewer. Giuliani does not doubt the old man's theatrical origin, but insists that *paidagogoi*, like nurses, are appropriately present in the iconography of many mythological narratives without indicating that any play is relevant. This is just one critique of my alleged "signals" that lead him to his crucial conclusion (p. 134): "The reason why the signals of theatricality discussed by Taplin turn out to be unreliable is quite simple: none of these elements was actually *intended* to function as a signal." (Giuliani's italics).

Such an appeal to artists' "intentions" have long been regarded as methodologically rather dubious in literary studies, if only because they are ultimately unverifiable, but I have other reservations apart from that. Firstly the old man is not always a *paidagogos*, and can have some other specific role in the narrative. Thus on the attractive krater *P&P* 68 (pp. 193-196), which tells the story of Melanippe's giving birth to twin sons, the old man who has found the babies in the cow-shed is labelled as BOTHP. He has his role in a particular (Euripidean) version and is not just an iconographic 'extra'.



1 | The Capodarso Painter (Gibil Gabib Group), Sicilian red figures calyx-krater, ca. 330s. Caltanissetta. Museo Civico 1301bis1.

Secondly there are two instances where there patently is direct allusion to the theatre. These are, admittedly, Sicilian not Apulian, but it is clearly the same little old man. Both were probably painted by the hand known as the Capodarso Painter, dating to around the 330s; they have become justifiably well-known because they directly invoke the performance of tragedy (there are two important recently published discussion of these: Csapo, Wilson 2020, 398-403 and Bosher 2021, 150-153). The one excavated at remote Capodarso, P&P 105 (261-262), has the "little old man" at the right hand end of a rather small and rudimentary stage which holds four tragic figures, the other three female [Fig. 1]. There is no need to discuss here the possible play and so forth: what matters is that he is taking part in an explicit performance evoking a particular tragedy. The other is (of course) the krater fragments, P&P 22 (90-92), excavated at Syracuse in 1969, which are widely agreed to capture a particular moment in Sophocles' Oedipus (the King) (this has been questioned in a blog-post by Edith Hall on the grounds that the two children are more likely to be boys than girls; I discussed this question, and set out to reinforce their identification as Antigone and Ismene in Taplin 2017). According to this identification the "old man" on the left is the herdsman from Corinth, who has brought the news of the death of Polybus, and who goes on to make crucial revelations about how Oedipus was taken as a baby from Cithaeron to Corinth and given to Polybus. Once again the figure is explicitly identified as a character in a tragedy, not just an iconographic convention.



2 | Darius Painter, Red figures volute-krater, 340 and 320 BCE. Naples, Museo Archeologico Nazionale (H3253).

The most enigmatic little old man figure of all, however, and the one who poses the most challenging question of whether he is or is not *intended* as a signal of theatricality, appears on the monumental volute-krater (1.3) metres high!) which is the name-vase of the Darius Painter, the acknowledged maestro of Apulian vase-painting of the second half of the fourth century [Fig. 2]. He and his workshop were amazingly prolific, and often produced intriguing and unusual mythological scenes, many of them related - whether through plot or through play - with tragedy (no fewer than 26 of the 109 vases selected for P&P are attributed to the Darius Painter). Some of the scenes are so unusual and so elaborate that it seems quite likely that they were high-prestige bespoke commissions. And in that case it is very interesting that so many of those with known provenence have been found in tombs in Peucetia and the northern Messapianspeaking areas of Apulia. That is the case with this vase, which was excavated on 15 August 1851 at Canosa (for details and bibliography see Todisco 2003, 468-469, Ap. 182 and 557-558 on the "ipogeo del vaso di Dario" at Canosa).

Even among this painter's bold initiatives this one stands out: its main subject draws not on heroic myth, but on more recent history, and it portrays in some detail a world that is neither Greek nor Italian, but Persian. The main picture encompasses no fewer than 22 individual figures

on three levels. The bottom "servile" row shows the methodical finances of Persian rule, with gold being brought to an accountant, while some subjects plead for mercy or for time to pay their tribute. The top "divine" row has five easily recognised Olympian gods, and three female personifications who are identified by inscription as Hellas, Deceit (AПАТН) and Asia, probably meaning Asia Minor. Athena is leading Hellas towards Zeus and Nike, while Deceit stands by a suppliant Asia; this is the nearest that this iconography comes to a narrative. The middle "rulers" row is framed by four seated and one standing counsellor-figure. In the centre, seated on a grand throne and with a very Persian bodyguard behind him, is the one human figure identified by an inscription:  $\Delta APEIO\Sigma$ . This could, in theory, be either the successful (mostly) imperial king well known from Herodotus, who ruled from c. 522 to 486; or – unlikely – it could possibly be the Dareios who was briefly king from 336, and who failed, though bravely, to stop the unstoppable advance of Alexander.



3 | Darius Painter, Red figures volute-krater, 340 and 320 BCE. Naples, Museo Archeologico Nazionale (H3253), part.

17

So the vase poses a kind of enigma: what does it convey to the viewer? Is there a story here? Does it honour Dareios, or does it show his failure? If there is a key to this enigma, it seems to be the second central figure of the composition, who stands in front of Dareios, holding up his arm in a gesture that is usually taken to signify warning [Fig. 3]. Here is our familiar "little old man", though in this case he is not shorter than the others in his row. He has a conical traveller's hat and fancy boots, and he stands on a circular plinth inscribed  $\Pi EP\Sigma AI$ . Why did the artist put him there? What was he meant to suggest to the viewer of this grand showpiece?

When I discussed the Dareios krater as no. 92 on pp. 235-237 of P&P, I was scrupulously agnostic, including two opinions which I would no longer maintain. First: "The possibility should not be ruled out that he is wearing this tragic outfit because old anonymous figures were conventionally portrayed like this by 330 B.C., whether or not they had anything to do with tragedy" - a sentiment very much in keeping with Luca Giuliani's objection to regarding such figures as a "signals". But this vase does not show a mythological story or contain any matter where a paidagogos - or even a herdsman - is obviously appropriate. This old man is not just a bystander, he is central to the whole composition. Secondly: I said of ΠΕΡΣΑΙ on the plinth, "This is presumably the title of the whole painting". But the there is no point to adding a picture-title (not a common convention in Apulian vase-painting in any case): it is perfectly obvious that the lower two rows are Persians. So I have now come round to the view that  $\Pi EP\Sigma AI$ is there because it is the title of a tragedy. And in that case there can be little doubt that this must allude to Aeschylus' Persai of 472, famously reperformed at Hieron's Syracuse, and cited by title in Aristophanes' Frogs (1026-1027).

If this is followed through, the plinth cannot possibly be indicating that this painting in any way represents the action of Aeschylus' play. For one thing the messenger there is a Persian soldier returning from the war, and cannot be pictured as a Greek old man. Even more obviously the Dareios of Aeschylus is not only already dead, but he actually figures on stage as an apparition summoned up from the underworld. I suggest, then, that the old man is there on the vase as a kind of challenge or enigma, and that " $\Pi$ EP $\Sigma$ AI" is the key to it. He signifies that Aeschylus' *Persai* is somehow a warning to Dareios. Assuming that this is the great king, father of Dareios,

then he is being warned that, despite his imperial incursions into in Asia Minor, the future Persians will suffer defeat and reversal (Margot Schmidt, 1982, offered an ingenious alternative chronology, setting the scene on the vase during the Ionian Revolt).

Herodotus 5.105 tells how Dareios, after hearing of Athenian intervention against the Persian advance in Asia Minor, had a servant say to him three times before his meal, "My lord, remember the Athenians". This detail is even reiterated at 6.94 before the expedition against Greece and Athens in particular in 490. My best shot at a solution to the riddle of this vase is this: just as Dareios' servant reminded him of Athens, so the Greek servant on the vase reminds the viewer of *Persai*. So the composition shows the great Dareios at the height of his wealth and power, and also simultaneously "prophesies" the defeat of Xerxes in 480, as immortalised in Aeschylus' tragedy. Might this even allude to the contemporary exploits of Alexander as well as the events of 480-479?

If this admittedly rather convoluted answer to the riddle of the old man is right, then he is indeed a striking, if highly unusual, employment of one of my signals of theatricality, and one that is *intended* to function as a signal.

### **Bibliography**

Bordignon 2015

G. Bordignon (ed.), Scene dal mito. Iconologia del dramma antico, Rimini 2015.

Bosher 2021

K. Bosher, Greek Theater in Ancient Sicily, Cambridge 2021.

Csapo, Wilson 2020

E. Csapo, P. Wilson, A Social and Economic History of the Theatre to 300 BC, volume II. Theatre beyond Athens, Cambridge 2020.

Giuliani 2017

L. Giuliani, *Pots, Plots, and Performance. Comic and Tragic Iconography in Apulian Vase Painting*, in B. Dignas and L. Audley-Miller (eds.), *Wandering Myths: Transcultural Uses of Myth in the Ancient World*, Berlin 2017, 125-42.

Green 1999

J.R. Green, Tragedy and the Spectacle of the Mind: Messenger Speeches, Actors, Narrative and Audience Imagination in Fourth-Century BCE Vase Painting, in B.

Bergmann, C. Kondoleon (eds.), *The Art of Ancient Spectacle*, Washington 1999, 37-63.

Schmidt 1982

M. Schmidt, *Asia und Apate*, in M. Gualandi, L. Massei, S. Settis (eds.), *Aparchai: Nuove ricerche e studi sulla Magna Grecia e la Sicilia in onore di P. E. Arias*, Pisa 1982, 505-520.

Taplin 2007

O. Taplin, Pots and Plays, Malibu 2007.

Taplin 2017

O. Taplin, *The Siracusa Tragedy-vase: Oedipus and his daughters?*, engramma 150 (2017), II, 457-464.

Todisco 2003

L. Todisco (ed.), La ceramica figurata a soggetto tragico in Magna Grecia e in Sicilia. Roma 2003.

### **English abstract**

The article broadens the perspectives already developed in previous works dedicated to the relationship between ancient tragic theater and vase painting, starting from precise iconographic indicators recognizable in Greek vases. In particular, it demonstrates how many references to the theatrical plays might be perceived by the owners of the vases, through an accurate selection of elements described in the tragedies as signals of the mythical stories portrayed on them. The case study of the famous 'Cratere di Dario' from the Apulian area at Canosa offers a clear demonstration of this method.

keywords | Pots & Plays; ancient Greek vase painting; Dareios Krater.



### la rivista di **engramma** luglio/agosto **2021**

### 183 • Alias. Miti còlti sul (manu)fatto

#### **Editoriale**

Monica Centanni, Maurizio Harari

A Clue to the Riddle of the Dareios krater / 'vaso di Dario'?

Oliver Taplin

Metamorfosi e peregrinazioni di lo

Concetta Cataldo, Rocco Davide Vacca

ἄπαξ δρώμενα. Un criterio per la relazione tra testi teatrali

e iconografia vascolare (V-IV sec. a.C.)

Monica Centanni, Alessandro Grilli

Dal mito tragico all'immagine su vaso

Alessandro Grilli

Il sileno e Dioniso

Ludovico Rebaudo

La donna e il cavallo: persistenza di un paragone

Claudio Franzoni

Giorgio de Chirico, Le printemps de l'ingénieur

Maurizio Harari

Giuseppe Pucci. Scritti corsari di un archeologo classico

Roberto Andreotti

Bibliografia di Giuseppe Pucci

Mara Sternini

'Tradizione', fra memoria e oblio

Salvatore Settis

Regesto degli spettacoli INDA al Teatro greco di Siracusa (1914-2021)

Alessandra Pedersoli